

## SYNOPSIS

**Rank Preservers and Inertia****Preservers on Spaces of Hermitian Matrices**by EHUD MOSHE BARUCH<sup>1</sup> and RAPHAEL LOEWY<sup>1</sup>*I.  $G(k, 0, n - k)$  Preservers*

Let  $H_n$  denote the space of all  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices, and let  $S_n$  denote the space of all  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrices. If  $A \in H_n$  has  $r$  positive,  $s$  negative, and  $t$  zero eigenvalues, then we define the inertia of  $A$  to be the triple  $\text{In}(A) = (r, s, t)$ .

Let  $G(r, s, t) = \{A \in H_n : \text{In}(A) = (r, s, t)\}$ , and let  $T$  be a linear operator on  $H_n$ . If  $T(G(r, s, t)) \subset G(r, s, t)$ , then  $T$  is called a  $G(r, s, t)$  preserver. Let  $\rho(A)$  denote the rank of  $A$ .

Johnson and Pierce [3, 4] presented the problem of determining all  $G(r, s, t)$  preservers. They considered [3] the case where  $t = 0$  and  $T$  is onto. Helton and Rodman [2] considered the case where  $t = 0$  and  $T$  is unital.

## EXAMPLE 1.

(\*) Let

$$T(A) = S^*AS, \quad (1)$$

$$T(A) = S^*A^tS \quad (2)$$

for some nonsingular  $S \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

(\*\*) Let

$$T(A) = -S^*AS, \quad (1)$$

$$T(A) = -S^*A^tS \quad (2)$$

for some nonsingular  $S \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

$T$  of the form (\*) is a  $G(r, s, t)$  preserver for every  $(r, s, t)$ .  $T$  of the form (\*\*) is a  $G(r, s, t)$  preserver for the case  $r = s$ .

Let  $T$  be a  $G(r, s, t)$  preserver. Johnson and Pierce [4] proved that if  $T$  is *invertible* and if  $(r, s, t)$  is not one of the triples  $\{(n, 0, 0), (0, n, 0), (0, 0, n), (n/2, n/2, 0)\}$ , then:

- (1) if  $r \neq s$ ,  $T$  is of the form (\*);
- (2) if  $r = s$ ,  $T$  is of the form (\*) or (\*\*).

It turns out that the same result holds for the inertia class  $(n/2, n/2, 0)$ . This was proved by Pierce and Rodman [10] for the Hermitian case and by Loewy [9] for the real

<sup>1</sup> Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel.

symmetric case. Johnson and Pierce conjectured [3] that:

- (A) If  $n \geq 3$ ,  $r$  and  $s$  are positive, and  $r \neq s$ , then  $T$  is of the form (\*).  
 (B) If  $n \geq 3$ ,  $r$  and  $s$  are positive, and  $r = s$ , then  $T$  is of the form (\*) or (\*\*).

This conjecture was proved by Johnson and Pierce [4] for the cases  $(n-1, 1, 0)$ ,  $(k+1, k, 0)$ ,  $(1, n-1, 0)$ ,  $(k, k+1, 0)$ . Loewy [8] proved (A) for all cases.

The case  $(k, 0, n-k)$  is different because there exist singular  $G(k, 0, n-k)$  preservers. A well-known one is

EXAMPLE 2.

$$T(A) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{trace } A & & & & & \\ & \text{trace } A & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \text{trace } A & & \\ & & & & 0 & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}_k$$

$$= \text{trace } A \cdot I_k \oplus 0_{n-k}.$$

Loewy [7] proved that if  $T: H_n \rightarrow H_n$  is a linear operator such that  $\rho(A) = 1 \Rightarrow \rho(T(A)) = 1$  and  $\dim \text{Im}(T) > 1$ , then  $T$  is of the form (\*) or (\*\*). (The analog for  $S_n$  also holds.) Thus if  $T$  is a  $G(1, 0, n-1)$  preserver and  $\dim \text{Im}(T) > 1$ , then  $T$  is of the form (\*).

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $K$  be a positive integer such that  $k < n$ , and let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_2^* & A_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } A_1 \in H_k.$$

We define

$$T(A) = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 + t_r(A)I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for all } A \in H_n.$$

It is not difficult to see that  $T$  is a singular  $G(k, 0, n-k)$  preserver and  $\dim \text{Im}(T) = k^2$ . Generalizing Loewy's result, we have:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $0 < k < n$  be a given integer. If  $T: H_n \rightarrow H_n$  is a  $G(k, 0, n-k)$  preserver and  $\dim \text{Im}(T) > k^2$ , then  $T$  is of the form (\*). [The analog for  $S_n$  is  $\dim \text{Im}(T) > (k+1)k/2$ .]*

## II. Rank-1 Nonincreasing Operators

Let  $T$  be a linear operator. If  $\rho(A) \leq k \Rightarrow \rho(T(A)) \leq k$ , then  $T$  is called rank- $k$  nonincreasing. It is an open problem to determine all rank- $k$  nonincreasing linear operators on  $M_n(\mathbb{G})$  and on  $H_n$ . But the following theorem shows that the problems are related:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $T: H_n \rightarrow H_n$  be a rank- $k$  nonincreasing operator. Let  $T_1: M_n(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{G})$  be defined by  $T_1(A) = T_1(H_1 + iH_2) = T(H_1) + iT(H_2)$ , where  $A = H_1 + iH_2$  is the standard decomposition of  $A$  into Hermitian and skew-Hermitian parts. Then  $T_1$  is a rank- $k$  nonincreasing operator.*

Let  $F$  be a field.

Botta [1] proved that if  $T: M_n(F) \rightarrow M_n(F)$  is rank-1 nonincreasing, then  $T$  is of one of the following forms:

- (1)  $T(A) = UAV$  for some  $U, V \in M_n(F)$ .
- (2)  $T(A) = UA^tV$  for some  $U, V \in M_n(F)$ .
- (3) There exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$  and linear functionals  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  such that  $T(A)_{ij} = a_i L_j(A)$ .
- (4) There exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$  and linear functionals  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  such that  $T(A)_{ij} = a_j L_i(A)$ .

M. H. Lim [6] proved that if  $T$  is a rank-1 nonincreasing linear operator on the space of symmetric matrices over a field  $F$  with  $\text{char } F \neq 2$ , then  $T$  is of one of the forms:

- (1)  $T(A) = \lambda S^t A S$  for  $\lambda \in F$  and  $S \in M_n(F)$ ,
- (2)  $T(A) = L(A) \cdot B$  where  $L$  is a linear functional and  $B$  is a symmetric matrix such that  $\rho(B) = 1$ .

Using Theorem 2 and Botta's result, we have the following analog:

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $T$  is rank-1 nonincreasing on  $H_n$ , then  $T$  is of one of the forms:*

- (1)  $T(A) = \epsilon S^* A S$  for  $\epsilon = \pm 1$  and  $S \in M_n(\mathbb{G})$ ,
- (2)  $T(A) = \epsilon S^* A^t S$  for  $\epsilon = \pm 1$  and  $S \in M_n(\mathbb{G})$ ,
- (3)  $T(A) = L(A) \cdot B$  where  $L$  is a linear functional and  $B \in H_n$  is of rank 1.

## III. Rank-2 Preservers on $H_n$

Let  $k$  be a positive integer. If  $\rho(A) = k \Rightarrow \rho(T(A)) = k$ , then  $T$  is called a rank- $k$  preserver. It is an open problem to determine all rank- $k$  preservers on  $H_n$ .

For rank-1 preservers we had Loewy's result, which can also be proved by Theorem 3. M. H. Lim [5] proved that if  $n > 2$  and  $T$  is a rank-2 preserver on the space of symmetric matrices over a field  $F$  with  $\text{char } F = 0$ , then  $T(A) = \lambda S^t A S$  for some  $\lambda \in F$  and a nonsingular matrix  $S \in M_n(F)$ .

For the Hermitian case we have an analog:

THEOREM 4. If  $n > 2$  and  $T : H_n \rightarrow H_n$  is a rank-2 preserver, then  $T$  is of the form (\*) or (\*\*).

## REFERENCES

- 1 P. Botta, Linear maps preserving rank less than or equal to one, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 20:197–201 (1987).
- 2 J. W. Helton and L. Rodman, Signature preserving linear maps of Hermitian matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 17:401–404 (1985).
- 3 C. R. Johnson and S. Pierce, Linear maps on Hermitian matrices: The stabilizer of an inertia class, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 28:401–404 (1985).
- 4 C. R. Johnson and S. Pierce, Linear maps on Hermitian matrices: The stabilizer of an inertia class, II, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 19:21–31 (1986).
- 5 M. H. Lim, Linear transformation on symmetric matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 7:47–57 (1979).
- 6 M. H. Lim, Linear mappings on second symmetric product spaces that preserve rank less than or equal to one, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 26:187–193 (1990).
- 7 R. Loewy, Linear transformations which preserve or decrease rank, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 121:151–161 (1989).
- 8 R. Loewy, Linear maps that preserve an inertia class, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 11:107–1123 (1990).
- 9 R. Loewy, Linear maps which preserve a balanced inertia class, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 134:165–179 (1990).
- 10 S. Pierce and L. Rodman, Linear preservers of the class of Hermitian matrices with balanced inertia, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 9:461–472 (1988).

## On the Proximal Minimization Algorithm with $D$ -Functions

by YAIR CENSOR<sup>2</sup> and STAVROS A. ZENIOS<sup>3</sup>

### 1. Introduction

The proximal minimization algorithm deals with the optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && F(x) \\ &\text{subject to} && x \in X, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a given proper convex function and  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a nonempty closed convex subset of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The approach is based on

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Haifa, Mt. Carmel, Haifa 31999, Israel.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Decision Sciences, The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6366.